Initiation of the TORC1-Regulated G0 Program Requires Igo1/2, which License Specific mRNAs to Evade Degradation via the 5’-3’ mRNA Decay Pathway
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SUMMARY

Eukaryotic cell proliferation is controlled by growth factors and essential nutrients, in the absence of which cells may enter into a quiescent (G0) state. In yeast, nitrogen and/or carbon limitation causes downregulation of the conserved TORC1 and PKA signaling pathways and, consequently, activation of the PAS kinase Rim15, which orchestrates G0 program initiation and ensures proper life span by controlling distal readouts, including the expression of specific genes. Here, we report that Rim15 coordinates transcription with posttranscriptional mRNA protection by phosphorylating the paralogous Igo1 and Igo2 proteins. This event, which stimulates Igo proteins to associate with the mRNA decapping activator Dhh1, shelters newly expressed mRNAs from degradation via the 5’-3’ mRNA decay pathway, thereby enabling their proper translation during initiation of the G0 program. These results delineate a likely conserved mechanism by which nutrient limitation leads to stabilization of specific mRNAs that are critical for cell differentiation and life span.

INTRODUCTION

Regulation of cell proliferation and growth in response to extracellular cues like growth factors, hormones, and/or nutrients critically affects development and life span in virtually every biological system examined (Gray et al., 2004; Kolter et al., 1993; Malumbres and Barbacid, 2001). In the absence of stimulatory signals, cells may enter into a reversible quiescence (or G0) state that is typically characterized by low metabolic activity, including low rates of protein synthesis and transcription. Whereas in metazoans quiescence is induced following limitation of growth factors and hormones, in simpler unicellular organisms, quiescence is primarily triggered by nutrient limitation to ensure maximal long-term survival (also referred to as chronological life span [CLS]). Despite the universal importance of the quiescent state, the mechanisms regulating entry into, survival in, and exit from quiescence remain poorly understood.

Initiation of the quiescence program in yeast includes a general downregulation of protein synthesis, specifically at the level of ribosome biogenesis, mRNA stability, and translation initiation factor activities (De Virgilio and Loewith, 2006). In parallel, activation of autophagy serves to recycle surplus cytoplasmic mass and to turn over ribosomes and mitochondria, thereby contributing to both reduction of cellular energy consumption and cell growth arrest upon G0 entry (Abeliovich and Klionsky, 2001). Distinct additional hallmarks of quiescent yeast cells include high levels of both the storage carbohydrate glycogen and the stress protectant trehalose and increased expression of specific genes whose products are likely involved in different aspects of stress tolerance and CLS (Gray et al., 2004).

Initiation of the yeast quiescence program requires downregulation of conserved nutrient-responsive signal transduction pathways. Specifically, inhibiting the kinase activities of the target of rapamycin complex 1 (TORC1) or the protein kinase A (PKA) drives cells into a quiescent-like state and significantly extend CLS (Kaeberlein et al., 2007; Powers et al., 2006). In contrast, reducing the kinase activity of Rim15 precludes access to quiescence and decreases CLS (Fabrizio et al., 2001; Rinders et al., 1998; Wei et al., 2008). Whereas TORC1 (via its substrate Sch9) and PKA signal in parallel pathways to positively regulate ribosome biogenesis and growth (Jorgensen et al., 2004; Urban et al., 2007), they negatively regulate quiescence and CLS by maintaining Rim15 in an inactive state in the cytoplasm (Pedruzzi et al., 2003; Wanke et al., 2005, 2008). The molecular elements linking Rim15 to distal readouts, including the expression of specific nutrient-regulated genes, trehalose and glycogen accumulation, extension of CLS, and induction of autophagy, are only partially characterized but involve the stress response and postdiauxic shift transcription factors Msn2/4 and Gis1, respectively (Cameroni et al., 2004; Pedruzzi et al., 2000; Wei et al., 2008; Yorimitsu et al., 2007). Rim15 appears to be conserved among eukaryotes, as it shares homology with the mammalian serine/threonine kinase large tumor suppressor (LATS) (Cameroni et al., 2004; Pedruzzi et al., 2003); PKA, TORC1, and Sch9 have clear orthologs in
mammals: mammalian PKA, mTORC1, and S6K, respectively (Powers, 2007).

A recently discovered facet of the yeast quiescence program is that translating mRNAs on polysomes broadly dissociate from certain translation factors, associate with translational repressors, and accumulate as repressed messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) complexes within cytoplasmic granules, also termed processing bodies (P bodies or PBs) (Brengues et al., 2005; Sheth and Parker, 2003). The possible fate of these mRNAs includes their degradation, repression and/or storage, or dispatch to translation via a transitory stopover in eIF4E-, eIF4G-, and Pab1-containing bodies (EGPBs), which likely correspond to yeast stress granules (SGs) (Buchan et al., 2008; Hoyle et al., 2007; Parker and Sheth, 2007). PBs from yeast to mammals contain a conserved core of proteins consisting of the mRNA decapping machinery, including the decapping enzyme Dcp1/Dcp2, the activators of decapping Dhh1/RCK/DDX6/p54, Pat1, Scd6/RAP55, Edc3, and the heptameric Lsm1–7 complex, and the 5′-3′ exonuclease Xrn1 (Eulalio et al., 2007; Parker and Sheth, 2007). PBs also contain the conserved Ccr4/Pop2/Not1–5 complex that initiates deadenylation of the 3′ poly(A) tail of mRNAs, which, besides allowing 3′-to-5′ degradation of mRNAs by the exosome complex, primarily induces Dcp1/Dcp2-mediated removal of the 5′ end cap structure followed by 5′-to-3′ transcript degradation (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006; Parker and Sheth, 2007). This core of conserved PB components, also coined repression or 5′-3′ mRNA decay machinery, functions in both translation repression and mRNA degradation and competes with the assembly of translational factors (Eulalio et al., 2007; Parker and Sheth, 2007). How nutrient limitation impinges on and regulates this competition remains largely elusive. Moreover, it remains unexplained how specific transcripts can, despite the gradient toward mRNP storage/decay, evade degradation to be translated into proteins during initiation of the G0 program.

Here, we describe the discovery of the paralogous Igo1 and Igo2 proteins and demonstrate that they play an essential role in ensuring proper initiation of the G-zero (G0) program, hence their name Igo. We show that inhibition of TORC1 induces Igo1 to associate with the decapping activator Dhh1 and additional proteins that are typically assembled on the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of mRNAs. Of note, the interaction between Dhh1 and Igo1 requires phosphorylation of Igo1 by Rim15 and is key to preventing degradation of newly expressed mRNAs by the 5′-3′ mRNA decay pathway. In all, our data indicate that protection of specific mRNAs represents a conserved aspect of the cellular response to nutrient deprivation, which critically affects cell differentiation and life span.

RESULTS

Igo1 and Igo2 Are Direct Targets of the TORC1 Downstream Effector Kinase Rim15
To elucidate how Rim15 regulates initiation of the quiescence program, we identified Rim15 target proteins using the proteome chip array technology (Ptacek et al., 2005). The best hit on these arrays was Igo1, which shares 58% identity over its entire length with the putative paralog Igo2. Both proteins have no assigned function at present but share significant homology with small (16–20 kDa) proteins of the α-endosulfine family in higher eukaryotes (e.g., ENSA and ARPP-16/19) (Dulubova et al., 2001) (Figure 1A), which have been implicated in diverse biological processes, including insulin secretion (Bataille et al., 1999), oocyte meiotic maturation (Von Stetina et al., 2008), neurodegeneration (Woods et al., 2007), and axon growth (Irwin et al., 2002). The molecular function of these proteins, however, remains poorly understood.

In line with and extending our proteome chip data, we found that Rim15 physically and specifically interacted with Igo1 in classical pull-down experiments (Figure 1B) and that Rim15, but not kinase-inactive Rim15KD (Rim15KD), phosphorylated bacterially expressed Igo1 and Igo2, as well as human ENSA and ARPP-19, in vitro (Figure 1C). Using a combination of mass spectroscopy (MS) and tandem MS analyses on GST-Igo1 samples that had been subjected to in vitro protein kinase assays with Rim15 or Rim15KD, we then identified an evolutionary conserved serine residue (i.e., Ser56) in Igo1, which is phosphorylated by Rim15. A mutant version of Igo1 that had Ser64 replaced by Ala was no longer phosphorylated by Rim15 (Figure 1C), indicating that Ser64 is the only amino acid in Igo1 that is directly phosphorylated by Rim15. To determine whether Ser64 is also a target of Rim15 in vivo, we raised antibodies that were highly specific for a pSer64-containing peptide, as indicated by their ability to recognize bacterially expressed GST-Igo1 that was phosphorylated in vitro by Rim15, but not GST-Igo1 treated with Rim15KD (Figure 1D). Using these phosphospecific anti-pSer64 antibodies, we found that phosphorylation of Ser64 in Igo1 within cells largely depended on the presence of Rim15 and was strongly induced following rapamycin-mediated TORC1 inactivation or a shift of cells to low-glucose-containing medium (Figures 1E and 1F), both conditions that expectedly cause Rim15 activation (Pedruzzi et al., 2003; Reinders et al., 1998). Together, these results show that Igo1 is a bona fide Rim15 target and suggest that the corresponding phosphorylation event is evolutionarily conserved.

Igo1 and Igo2 Are Critical for Initiation of the G0 Program
Rim15 orchestrates various physiological processes associated with the quiescence program, including the accumulation of the storage carbohydrate glycogen, synthesis of trehalose, proper setup of CLS, and the expression of specific nutrient-regulated genes. We therefore evaluated these readouts in cells lacking Igo1 and/or Igo2. Quiescent rim15D and igo1D igo2D double mutants, but not igo1D and igo2D single mutants, had very low glycogen and trehalose levels (both < 10% of wild-type levels; data not shown) and were equally dramatically reduced for CLS (Figure 2A). To investigate whether Igo1/2, like Rim15, are also implicated in gene expression control, we compared the rapamycin response of wild-type with that of rim15D and igo1D igo2D mutant cells using global transcription analysis. After 180 min of rapamycin treatment, the expression of 478 genes was increased more than 2.8-fold in wild-type cells. Among these, the upregulation of 54 and 103 genes was diminished more than 2-fold in rim15D and igo1D igo2D cells, respectively. Surprisingly, almost all of the Rim15-dependent genes (which are mainly implicated in stress responses, carbohydrate
metabolism, and respiration) were comprised within the larger set of Igo1/2-dependent genes (Figure 2B). Thus, whereas Igo1/2 are important for expression of a small set of Rim15-independent genes, induction of the entire Rim15-dependent gene expression program requires Igo1/2. Corresponding global transcription analyses of glucose-limited cells (during and following the diauxic shift) essentially confirmed this conclusion (Figure 2B and data not shown). Taken together, the simultaneous loss of Igo1 and Igo2 appears to largely phenocopy the loss of Rim15.

We next assessed quantitatively the role of Igo1/2 in gene expression by studying the induction of HSP26, which is most strongly dependent on Rim15 and Igo1/2 for its expression following rapamycin treatment (Figures 2B and 2C), using an HSP26-lacZ reporter gene that expresses the bacterial β-galactosidase-encoding lacZ gene under the control of the yeast HSP26 promoter. As expected, rapamycin-induced HSP26-lacZ expression was largely defective in both rim15Δ and igo1Δ igo2Δ cells. This defect could be cured in igo1Δ igo2Δ cells by expression of either plasmid-encoded wild-type Igo1 or Igo2, but not by expression of mutant Igo1S64A (Figure 2D), indicating that phosphorylation by Rim15 triggers Igo1 and Igo2 in a redundant manner to ensure proper expression of a specific set of nutrient-regulated genes. Because both human ENSA and ARPP-19 were able to partially replace Igo1/2 function in yeast (Figure 2D), proteins of this family are likely to share an evolutionary conserved role in gene expression.

**TORC1 Regulates the Association of Igo1 with Lsm12 and Dhh1 via Rim15**

To further elucidate the molecular function of Igo1/2, we purified in parallel experiments Igo1-TAP and Igo1-myc13 from rapamycin-treated yeast cells and identified coprecipitating proteins via tandem MS. Proteins that specifically bound both Igo1-TAP and Igo1-myc13 were subjected to in vivo phosphorylation analyses using polyclonal anti-Igo1 and anti-pSer44 antibodies, respectively.
and Igo1-myc13 included members of the Hsp70 family of proteins (i.e., Ssa1/2 and Ssb1/2) as well as Pbp1, Pbp4, and Lsm12 (Table S3 available online). Of interest, the latter three proteins have previously been identified as partners within a ribosome-associated protein complex (Fleischer et al., 2006). Pbp1 has originally been characterized as a poly(A)-binding protein Pab1 interactor that is involved in mRNA poly(A) tail length regulation (Mangus et al., 1998). Like its human homolog ataxin 2

Figure 2. Igo1 and Igo2, Like Rim15, Are Required for TORC1 to Properly Control Gene Expression and Chronological Life Span

(A) Igo1 and Igo2 are redundant, key determinants of CLS. Survival data (CFU ml⁻¹) are expressed as relative values compared to the values at day 0 (which corresponds to day 4 in early stationary phase cultures). Each data point represents the mean of three samples.

(B) Rim15 and Igo1/2 play a largely overlapping role in mediating the rapamycin-induced expression of a defined set of genes. Wild-type (WT), rim15Δ, and Igo1Δ Igo2Δ cells were treated with rapamycin (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) for the times indicated and were compared by microarray analyses. Genes showing (in wild-type cells) at least a 2.8-fold increase (log2 ratio > 1.5) in expression following rapamycin treatment (180 min) were classified as rapamycin-induced genes and sorted according to the maximal Igo1/2 dependence of their response (Dif 1 = log2 [igo1Δ Igo2Δ 180 min/igo1Δ Igo2Δ 0]; Dif 2 = log2 [rim15Δ 180 min/rim15Δ 0]; Dif 3 = log2 [WT 180 min/WT 0]). Color codes show the log2 of the expression change relative to untreated cells (red-white) and the corresponding Dif value (green-white). Rim15- and Igo1/2-dependent genes that were (using the same criteria as above) also retrieved in global transcription analyses in glucose-limited (diauxic and post-diauxic) cells are indicated in bold. Northern blot analyses of selected genes confirmed our microarray data (not shown).

(C) Rapamycin-induced expression of HSP26 mRNA depends on Rim15 and Igo1/2. Northern blot analyses of HSP26 and SSB1 mRNAs were done with exponentially growing WT, rim15Δ, Igo1Δ, Igo2Δ, and Igo1Δ Igo2Δ cells prior to and following rapamycin treatment (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) for the times indicated. All samples were run on the same gel (identical film exposure time). The decrease in SSB1 transcript levels was used as internal control for rapamycin function.

(D) Both rim15Δ and Igo1Δ Igo2Δ mutants are defective in HSP26-lacZ expression. β-galactosidase activities (expressed in Miller units) were measured to monitor the expression of an HSP26-lacZ fusion gene in exponentially growing cells prior to (EXP) or following rapamycin treatment (RAP; 0.2 μg ml⁻¹; 6 hr). Data are reported as averages (n = 3), with standard deviations (SDs) indicated by the lines above each bar. Relevant genotypes are indicated.

and some-associated protein complex (Fleischer et al., 2006). Pbp1 has originally been characterized as a poly(A)-binding protein Pab1 interactor that is involved in mRNA poly(A) tail length regulation (Mangus et al., 1998). Like its human homolog ataxin 2.
not the rapamycin-induced Igo1-Pbp1/-Pbp4 interactions. GST-Pbp1, GST-Pbp4, GST-Lsm12, and GST-Dhh1 were pulled down from cell lysates of wild-type (WT) or rim15Δ (Δ) strains coexpressing Igo1-myc13. Exponentially growing cells were harvested prior to (-) or following (+) rapamycin treatment (RAP: 0.2 μg ml⁻¹; 2 hr). Cell lysates (Input) and GST pull-down samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and immunoblots were probed using anti-myc or anti-GST antibodies. Bold numbers below the graph denote the relative level of Igo1-myc13 coprecipitating per corresponding GST fusion protein (arbitrarily set to 1.0 for each sample derived from exponentially growing cells). For similar experiments with RNase A-treated lysates, see Figure S1.

(Raiser et al., 2005), Pbp1 is a member of the Like Sm (Lsm) proteins, which are predicted to function as mRNA-binding proteins that modulate biogenesis, translation, and/or degradation of mRNAs (He and Parker, 2000). Pbp4, which has no clear homolog in higher eukaryotes, was originally identified as a Pbp1-interacting protein, but its function remains presently unknown (Mangus et al., 2004). Finally, Lsm12 is an evolutionarily conserved protein of also unknown function, which, like Pbp1, contains an N-terminal Lsm domain (Albrecht and Lengauer, 2004).

We next tried to confirm the interactions between Igo1 and Pbp1, Pbp4, or Lsm12 in pull-down experiments. Because yeast interactome studies indicated that Pbp1 and Lsm12 may share an additional partner, namely the DEAD/H box RNA helicase Dhh1 (Tarassov et al., 2008), and because the association between Pbp1/ataxin 2 and Dhh1/DDX6 appears to be evolutionarily conserved (Nonhoff et al., 2007), we also included Dhh1 in these experiments. In extracts from exponentially growing wild-type cells, Igo1-myc interacted weakly with GST-Pbp1, GST-Pbp4, GST-Lsm12, and GST-Dhh1, but not with GST alone (Figure 3A). Rapamycin treatment strongly increased (6.2- to 10.2-fold) the affinity of Igo1-myc9 with GST-Pbp1, GST-Pbp4, GST-Lsm12, and GST-Dhh1. Of note, whereas none of these interactions appeared to be sensitive to RNase treatment (Figure S1), the rapamycin-induced Igo1-myc - GST-Lsm12/-Dhh1 interactions, but not the corresponding Igo1-myc - GST-Pbp1/-Pbp4 interactions, were strongly reduced in the absence of Rim15 or if Igo1-myc harbored the S64A mutation (Figures 3A and 3B). Thus, TORC1 inactivation likely results in the recruitment of Igo1 to Pbp1/-Pbp4-containing mRNA-protein complexes, where it may, following phosphorylation by Rim15, associate with Lsm12 and Dhh1.

**TORC1 Regulates mRNA Stability via Igo1 and Igo2**

Because both Pbp1, by controlling mRNA polyadenylation (Mangus et al., 1998), and Dhh1, by promoting mRNA decapping (Parker and Sheth, 2007), are implicated in regulation of mRNA stability, we hypothesized that Igo1/2 may play a role in stabilizing the substantial subset of rapamycin-induced, Igo1/2-dependent mRNA species (Figure 2B). In line with this assumption, loss of Igo1/2 reduced, on average by 35%, the half-lives of newly transcribed poly(A)⁺ RNAs in rapamycin-treated, but not in exponentially growing, cells (Figure 4). Considering the fact that the bulk of poly(A)⁺ RNAs isolated from rapamycin-treated cells contained both Igo1/2-dependent and Igo1/2-independent mRNA species, this calculated average mRNA half-life reduction likely underestimates the actual effect of Igo proteins on specific mRNAs. In support of this notion, loss of Igo1/2 reduced the half-life of a specific HSP26-lacZ mRNA by 65% in rapamycin-treated cells (Figure S2).

**Igo1 and Igo2 Shelter HSP26 mRNAs from Degradation via the 5'-3' mRNA Decay Pathway**

To explore the possibility that Igo1/2 may prevent degradation of mRNAs via the 5’-3’ mRNA decay pathway, we determined whether loss of Dhh1 or Ccr4, which prevents mRNA turnover at early steps of PB formation and traps mRNAs in polysomes (Parker and Sheth, 2007), may suppress the defect of igo1Δ igo2Δ and rim15Δ cells in HSP26 expression. Following rapamycin treatment, Dhh1- or Ccr4-deficient cells expressed HSP26 (both at the mRNA and protein levels) to higher levels than wild-type cells, indicating the existence of a futile cycle in which mRNA turnover operates to some extent on newly synthesized mRNAs (Figures 5A and 5B). Remarkably, loss of either Dhh1 or Ccr4 suppressed the defect of igo1Δ igo2Δ cells, but not that of rim15Δ cells, in rapamycin-induced HSP26 expression (again both at the mRNA and protein levels) (Figures 5A and 5B). From these results, we infer that Rim15 likely has a dual role in controlling gene expression by (1) activating transcription (via a still partially understood mechanism) and (2) protecting the corresponding transcripts from degradation via an Igo1/2-dependent mechanism. In line with such a model, loss of the...
5′-3′ exonuclease Xrn1, which, in contrast to loss of Dhh1 or Ccr4, causes PBs to increase in number and size due to the entrapping of nondegraded mRNAs (Parker and Sheth, 2007), allowed igo1Δ igo2Δ cells, but not rim15Δ cells, to accumulate HSP26 mRNAs, which then failed to be translated into proteins (Figures 5A and 5B). We confirmed these results independently by using the chimeric HSP26-LacZ gene, the expression pattern of which (measured by northern blot and β-galactosidase activity assays) was comparable to that of the endogenous HSP26 gene in various strains (Figures S3B and 5C). Taken together, our data indicate that Igo1/2 are required to prevent degradation of HSP26 mRNAs via the 5′-3′ mRNA decay pathway, but—as also supported by standard polysome analyses (Figure S4)—are dispensable for translation per se.

Igo1 May Escort HSP26 mRNAs during Their Transit through PBs to Reach EGPBs/SGs

To study whether Igo proteins may colocalize with HSP26 mRNAs, we used a strategy that enables specific mRNAs to be followed in live cells (Brodsky and Silver, 2000). Accordingly, we constructed a modified HSP26 gene that encodes an mRNA harboring multiple U1A-binding sites in its 3′UTR. Binding of plasmid-expressed U1A-GFP allows visualization of this specific mRNA by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 6A). In control northern blot experiments, HSP26-U1A expression mirrored the expression of the endogenous HSP26 gene in all of the conditions and strains tested. Using this system, we found HSP26 mRNAs to form distinct cytoplasmic GFP foci in rapamycin-treated wild-type cells or, more abundantly, in cells subjected to glucose limitation (following growth in batch cultures for 48 hr), which also induced HSP26 expression in a strongly Rim15- and Igo1/2-dependent manner (Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C). Up to 60% of these cytoplasmic foci precisely colocalized with the PB marker Dcp2-RFP, indicating that a fraction of HSP26 mRNAs, instead of being translated at ribosomes, is targeted to PBs following rapamycin treatment or glucose limitation. During glucose limitation, the relative number of HSP26 mRNA-containing, Dcp2-RFP-positive foci steadily increased in wild-type cells, whereas corresponding foci were almost entirely absent in rim15Δ cells, which was expected given the low level of HSP26 expression in these cells (Figure 6D). Intriguingly, igo1Δ igo2Δ cells contained a slightly elevated relative number of HSP26 mRNA-containing, Dcp2-RFP-positive foci during an early phase of glucose limitation, which, in contrast to wild-type cells, decreased considerably during later phases of glucose limitation. Given the particular concentration of the 5′-3′ mRNA decay machinery in PBs, these results can be most simply interpreted with a primary role of Igo1/2 in preventing degradation of mRNAs within PBs. In line with such a model, we also observed that loss of Xrn1 enabled glucose-limited igo1Δ igo2Δ cells, but not rim15Δ cells, to regain their ability to normally accumulate HSP26 mRNAs and to form HSP26 mRNA containing foci that colocalized with PBs (Figures 6B and 6D).

To test whether Igo1 is also present in PBs, we analyzed the subcellular localization of a functional Igo1-GFP fusion protein prior to and following glucose limitation. During exponential growth, Igo1-GFP had a diffuse appearance in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Figure 7A). Remarkably, Igo1-GFP, like GFP-Rim15 (Pedruzzi et al., 2003), started to accumulate in the nuclei of cells when approximately 50% of the initial amount of glucose in the culture had been consumed. While Igo1-GFP started to fade away from nuclei following glucose exhaustion, we observed a transient increase of Igo1-GFP foci that colocalized with the PB marker protein Dcp2-RFP and, in parallel, an increase of Igo1-GFP foci colocalizing with RFP-tagged Pab1 (Figures 7A and 7B), which, albeit present in some PBs, represents a key constituent of EGPBs/SGs in yeast (Buchan et al., 2008; Hoyle et al., 2007). Because EGPBs/SGs assemble on pre-existing PBs in glucose-deprived yeast cells (Buchan et al., 2008), our data suggest that Igo1 may escort mRNAs to primarily prevent their degradation via the 5′-3′ mRNA decay pathway to ultimately ensure their presence in EGPBs/SGs, from where they may return to translation. In support of this model, a significant fraction of HSP26 mRNA-containing foci colocalized with Igo1-RFP as well as with Pab1-RFP-containing EGPBs/SGs in glucose-limited cells (Figures 7C and 7D). Moreover, loss of Igo1/2 strongly shifted, in a Dhh1-dependent manner, the relative distribution of HSP26 mRNAs among cytoplasmic foci toward Dcp2-RFP-positive PBs (Figures S5A and S5B).
DISCUSSION

Previous work has documented that the conserved TORC1 and PKA nutrient signaling pathways converge on Rim15 to inhibit initiation of the G0 program, CLS, and, as recently reported, autophagy (Pedruzzi et al., 2003; Reinders et al., 1998; Wanke et al., 2008; Yorimitsu et al., 2007). Here, we describe the discovery of two bona fide Rim15 targets, namely the paralogous Igo1 and Igo2 proteins. We also provide evidence that these proteins play an essential role in initiation of the G0 program by preventing the degradation of specific nutrient-regulated mRNAs via the 5'→3' mRNA decay pathway. Thus, our data not only identify a key aspect of the cellular response to nutrient deprivation (i.e., posttranscriptional stabilization of specific mRNAs), but also provide a molecular mechanism, which supports the idea that untranslated mRNAs assemble into mRNPs, the fate of which (i.e., translation or decay) is critically regulated by the nature of associated proteins.

How may Igo1/2 protect mRNAs from degradation? TORC1 inhibition broadly shifts translating mRNAs on polysomes toward a repressing mRNP state within PBs and accelerates the de-adenylation-dependent decapping pathway (Albig and Decker,
which is normally stimulated by Dhh1 and the Lsm-Pat1 complex (Parker and Sheth, 2007). Of interest, studies of yeast Dhh1 and the orthologous DDX6-like RNA helicases in higher eukaryotes revealed a general role for these proteins in remodeling of mRNP complexes for entry into translation, storage, or decay pathways (Weston and Sommerville, 2006). As recently proposed, DDX6-like helicases may execute their function by assembling specific repressors or activators of translation into different mRNP complexes, which may be structurally reorganized due to ATPase/helicase-driven displacement of regulatory proteins from the core mRNAs (Minshall et al., 2009; Weston and Sommerville, 2006). An attractive model to be addressed in future studies therefore posits that activated Igo1, by interacting with Dhh1, interferes with the ability of Dhh1 to assemble translational repressors and/or with its role in remodeling translationally active mRNP complexes into a repression/decay state.

2001), which is normally stimulated by Dhh1 and the Lsm-Pat1 complex (Parker and Sheth, 2007). Of interest, studies of yeast Dhh1 and the orthologous DDX6-like RNA helicases in higher eukaryotes revealed a general role for these proteins in remodeling of mRNP complexes for entry into translation, storage, or decay pathways (Weston and Sommerville, 2006). As recently proposed, DDX6-like helicases may execute their function by assembling specific repressors or activators of translation into different mRNP complexes, which may be structurally reorganized due to ATPase/helicase-driven displacement of regulatory proteins from the core mRNAs (Minshall et al., 2009; Weston and Sommerville, 2006). An attractive model to be addressed in future studies therefore posits that activated Igo1, by interacting with Dhh1, interferes with the ability of Dhh1 to assemble translational repressors and/or with its role in remodeling translationally active mRNP complexes into a repression/decay state.
Diverse processes, such as degradation of mRNAs with aberrant translation termination codons by a quality control process termed nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), rapid turnover of mRNAs containing AU-rich destabilizing elements (AREs) in their 3’UTRs, or micro-RNA (miRNA)-mediated translation repression in mammalian cells, all implicate mRNA-specific regulators, which deliver mRNAs to the repression/decay machinery (Eulalio et al., 2007). Although it is, in principle, possible that Igo1/2 may exert their specificity through binding of particular sequence signatures within target mRNAs, the fact that the Igo1/2-controlled mRNAs do not contain a readily identifiable common sequence motif argues against such a mechanism. More likely, specificity of Igo1/2 is brought about, at least in part, by their temporal activation via Rim15 during initiation of the G0 program. In this context, it is worth noting that RNA polymerase II transcription units can influence the posttranscriptional fate of mRNAs by affecting the composition of mRNP particles (Jensen et al., 2003). Future studies should therefore also address the intriguing possibility that Rim15 may be recruited to specific promoter regions, which could ensure timely cotranscriptional activation of the Igo1-Dhh1/-Lsm12 associations within newly forming mRNP complexes. Such a scenario, in which Igo1/2 may serve as a “molecular memory” of a gene’s transcriptional activity by assembling into corresponding mRNP complexes, could also provide a mechanistic basis for the

Figure 7. Igo1 Colocalizes with PBs, EGPBs, and HSP26 mRNAs in Glucose-Limited Cells
(A and B) Igo1 dynamically colocalizes with PBs and EGPBs. Wild-type cells coexpressing Igo1-GFP and the PB marker Dcp2-RFP (A) or the EGPB/SG marker Pab1-RFP (B) were grown in batch cultures and visualized by fluorescence microscopy at the following stages of the growth curve: exponential growth phase (1); time point at which 50% of the initial glucose was consumed (2); time point of glucose exhaustion (3); and 2 hr (4), 5 hr (5), and 8 hr (6) following glucose exhaustion. Relative levels of nuclear Igo1-GFP were quantified (in A) by comparison of the fluorescence intensity per unit area in the nucleus versus cytoplasm, expressed in arbitrary units (au), and indicated at the bottom of the images (NL). DNA was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The percentage of cytoplasmic Igo1-GFP foci colocalizing with Dcp2-RFP (A) or Pab1-RFP (B) was assessed and indicated (in %) in the corresponding merged pictures.
(C and D) HSP26 mRNAs colocalize with Igo1 and EGPBs. Wild-type cells expressing HSP26-U1A mRNA and U1A-GFP together with Igo1-RFP (C) or Pab1-RFP (D) were harvested 8 hr (6) following glucose exhaustion and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. For the assessment of the relative distribution of HSP26 mRNAs between PBs and EGPBs/SG, see also Figure S5.
previously observed homodirectional changes in transcriptome composition and mRNA translation in rapamycin-treated cells (Preiss et al., 2003).

Igo1, as well as its interacting partners Dhh1, Lsm12, and Pbp1, have clear orthologs in mammals: mammalian ENSA/ARPP-16/19, RCK/p54, Q3MHD2, and ataxin 2, respectively (Albrecht and Lengauer, 2004; Dulubova et al., 2001; Ralser et al., 2005; Weston and Sommerville, 2006). Of these, both RCK/p54 and ataxin 2 have been described to occur within SGs and proposed to be involved in mRNA metabolism. Thus, together with our finding that human ENSA/ARPP-19 partially complements the gene expression defect in an iго1 iго2 Δ mutant, these observations indicate that Igo1/2 may have an evolutionarily conserved role in mRNA stability control. Intriguingly, ARPP-19 has previously been suggested to control axon growth and synaptic plasticity specifically by stabilizing the growth-associated protein-43 (GAP-43) mRNA in response to nerve growth factor treatment (Irwin et al., 2002). Our study, which identifies a biological function of Igo1/2, may therefore have far-reaching implications for understanding how extracellular, growth-related cues impinge on posttranscriptional gene expression to control eukaryotic differentiation programs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cloning and Yeast Experiments

Yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables S1 and S2. Strains were grown at 30°C in standard rich medium with 2% glucose (YPD) or synthetic defined medium with 2% glucose complemented with the appropriate nutrients for plasmid maintenance. PCR-based gene deletions, tagging of chromosomal IGO1, and standard experimental procedures for cloning were as described (Amberg et al., 2005).

Mass Spectrometry and Phosphospecific Antibodies

To identify phosphorylation sites in Igo1, purified, bacterially expressed GST-Igo1 was subjected to protein kinase assays using nonradioactive ATP and wild-type or kinase-inactive Rim15β as described (Wanke et al., 2005). Following separation of the reaction mixtures by SDS-PAGE, the bands corresponding to GST-Igo1 were excised, digested with trypsin, loaded onto a microcapillary liquid chromatography system (OD-SAQ, C18, 5 μm 300 Å, 75 μm ID × 10 cm), and eluted directly into a tandem mass spectrometer (Voyager Super STR) with electrospray ionization. A 1306.6-D peptide (corresponding to the theoretical peptide Y-F-D-S-G-D-Y-A-L-Q-K) appeared to be phosphorylated at the serine residue (which corresponds to Ser64 in Igo1) specifically in the GST-Igo1 sample that was treated with wild-type Rim15. Phosphospecific antibodies were raised against the phosphorylated synthetic peptide K-R-K-Y-F-D-pS-G-D-Y-A-L-Q-C (in which pS represents phospho-Ser64 of Igo1). The serum was preadsorbed with the nonphosphorylated form of the peptide and affinity purified with the phosphorylated peptide by Eurogentec.

Tandem Affinity Purification and Immunoprecipitation Experiments

Igo1-TAP was purified, using a standard tandem affinity purification (TAP)-tag purification protocol (Gelperin et al., 2005) from wild-type (BY4741) cells harboring plasmid BG1805-IGO1-TAP (that drives expression of Igo1-TAP from the galactose-inducible GAL1 promoter). Prior to protein extraction, cells were pregrown on 2% raffinose-containing medium, grown for 4 hr on galactose-containing medium, and treated for 1 hr with 0.2 μg ml⁻¹ rapamycin. In parallel, Igo1-myc13 was purified using a classical immunoprecipitation (IP) protocol from exponentially growing LC54 cells (expressing a chromosomally tagged Igo1-myc13 under the control of the endogenous IGO1 promoter) that were also treated for 1 hr with 0.2 μg ml⁻¹ rapamycin. Purified Igo1-TAP and Igo1-myc13 preparations were analyzed for coprecipitating partner proteins using standard MS.

Miscellaneous

Analyses of global transcription changes (including RNA extraction, cRNA synthesis, microarray hybridization, and data analyses) were carried out as published (Urban et al., 2007). Northern blot analyses, β-galactosidase measurements, and aging assays were also performed as described (Reinders et al., 1998; Wanke et al., 2006). Cells were imaged using an Olympus BX54 microscope equipped with a piezo-positioner (Olympus). Z sections (7–10 each 0.5 μm apart) were projected to two-dimensional images and analyzed with the CellM software (Olympus). Details of proteome chip and polypeptide analyses are described in the Supplemental Information.
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Microarray data have been deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with the accession number GSE20539. The microarray data set is available at http://puma.princeton.edu/cgi-bin/publication/viewPublication.pl?pub_no=531.
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Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, three tables, and five figures and is available with this article online at doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.02.039.
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